	ļ							
	Case 5	12-cv-02139-VAP-DTB Document 1 Fil	ed 12/05/12 Page 1 of 21 Page ID #:16					
	1	TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON (BAR NO. 66333) LAW OFFICES OF TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON						
	2							
	3	1970 OLD TUSTIN AVENUE, SECOND FLOOR SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92705 2012 DEC -5 AM 11: 5						
	4	4 TELEPHONE: (714) 832-1170 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. RIVERSIDE						
	5							
	6	6						
	7	Attorneys for Defendant Genpact Services, LLC						
	8							
	9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
	10	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
SON	11							
Johnson	12	ED CV	12 - 00139 VAP					
습.	13	NATHANIEL DAVIS,	Case No. (DTBx)					
оғ Тімотнұ	14	Plaintiff						
	15	VS.	NOTICE OF REMOVAL					
	16	CENDACT SEDVICES II C	NOTICE OF REMOVAL					
OFFICES	17	GENPACT SERVICES LLC,						
LAW	18	Defendant.						
	19							
	20	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT:						
	21							
	22	DI EACE TAKE MORICE						
	23	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Genpact Services, LLC hereby						
	24	removes to this Court the state court action described below:						
	25	1. On September 10, 2012, a Complaint was filed against Defendant						
	26	Genpact Services, LLC by Plaintiff Nathaniel Davis in an action pending in the						
	2.7 H	Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Riverside, entitled						
	28	Davis v. Genpact Services, LLC, Case No. RIC 1213917. A copy of the state cour Complaint ("Complaint") served on Defendant Genpact Services, LLC in the state						
		NOTICE OF REMOVAL	-1-					

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant Genpact Services, LLC by Plaintiff Nathaniel Davis in an action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Riverside, entitled, Davis v. Genpact Services, LLC, Case No. RIC 1213917. A copy of the

state court First Amended Complaint ("FAC") served on Defendant Genpact

This removal petition is timely under 28 U.S.C. §1446(b) because removing Genpact Services, LLC was served with the Complaint and the FAC on

This action is a civil action of which this Court has original 4. jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and that may be removed to this Court by Defendant Genpact Services, LLC pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) in that the complaint seeks damages based upon violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§1692 et seq.

VENUE

The complaint was filed in the Superior Court of the State of 5. California, County of Riverside. Therefore, venue in the Eastern Division of the Central District is proper. 28 U.S.C. §1441 (providing for removal "to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place" where the state court action is pending).

Dated: November 28, 2012

LAW OFFICES OF TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON

By:

TIMOTHY P, JOHNSON Attorneys for Defendant Genpact Services, LLC

EXHIBIT "1"

Todd M. Friedman SBN (216752)
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman
8730 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 411
Beverly Hills, CA 90211
Phone: 800-219-3577
Fax: 866-633-0228
pmankin@toddflaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

3

5

7

y

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

31

22

23

52 54

26 27 28 Defendant.

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

SEP 10 2012

A. VILLEGAS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE LIMITED JURISDICTION

NATHANIEL DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GENPACT SERVICES LLC

Case No. A. . 12139 17

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION
OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT
COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AND
FEDERAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION
PRACTICES ACT

(Amount not to exceed \$10,000)

- Violation of Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act
- Violation of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the Resenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal Civ Code §1788, et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA") and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), both of which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

II. PARTIES

Complaint - I

}7

18

20 21

23 23

24 25

26 27

28

- 2. Plaintiff, 'NATHANIEL DAVIS ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Riverside County in the State of California, and is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3) and is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(h),
- 3. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, GENPACT SERVICES LLC ("Defendant Asset") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a "debt," as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5), and a "consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(f). Defendant Asset regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code §1788.2(c).

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.
- On or about February, 2012, Plaintiff began receiving calls from Defendant.
 Defendant, called from telephone number (513) 698-2433 in attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.
- On average, Plaintiff received more than two collections calls from Defendant every day in connection with an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.
- On average, Plaintiff received more than ten collections calls from Defendant every week in connection with an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.
- On average, Plaintiff received more than twenty collections calls from
 Defendant every month in connection with an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.

- 9. On at least one occasion, Defendant said or implied that non-payment of the alleged outstanding debt would result in legal actions against Plaintiff.
- 10. On at least one occasion Defendant said to Plaintiff, if he did not make a payment they will take Plaintiff to court and it will affect his credit.
- II. On at least one occasion Plaintiff tried explain to Defendant he was going through financial hardships and was not able to pay. At which time Defendant said "you better come up with the money, if not we will continue to call until we get the money".
- 12. On several occasions Plaintiff asked Defendant to please stop calling however.

 Defendant ignored Plaintiff request and continuously called up four times in a day with two hours intervals.
- Defendant contacted Plaintiff with such frequency as to annoy and harass
 Plaintiff.
- 14. Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and the RFDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:

Falsely representing or implying that nonpayment of Plaintiff's debt would result in the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of Plaintiff's property or wages, where such action is not lawful or Defendant did not intend to take such action (§ 1692c(4));

Threatening to take an action against Plaintiff that cannot be legally taken or that was not actually intended to be taken, including threatening...(§ 1692c(5))

Falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of Plaintiff's debt, including...(§ 1692e(2)(A));

Communicating or threatening to communicate credit information which is known or which should be known to be false, including... (§ 1692e(8

Causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy Plaintiff (Cal Civ Code § 1788.11(d));

Communicating, by telephone or in person, with Plaintiff with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute an harassment to Plaintiff under the circumstances (Cal Civ Code § 1788.11(c));

Causing Plaintiffs telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff (§ 1692d(5)); and

Communicating with Plaintiff at times or places which were known or should have been known to be inconvenient for Plaintiff, (§ 1692c(a)

15. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 16. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.
- 17. To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the RFDCPA, those actions were done knowingly and willfully

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE. Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following:

- Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the RFDCPA;
- B. Actual damages:
- Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper,

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

18. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following: A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA; B. Actual damages; C. Statutory damages; D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and, E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

ú

名

lΰ

PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

Respectfully submitted this 237 day of August, 2012.

By:

Todd M. Friedman Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman Attorney for Plaintiff

Compisint - 5

OCT 09 2012 1 ... SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE MORENO VALLEY 13800 Heacock St., Ste, D201, Moreno Valley, CA 92553 MURRIETA 30755-D Auld Rd., Suite 1226, Murieta, CA 92563 RIVERSIDE 4050 Main St., Riverside, CA 92501 TEMECULA 41002 County Canier Dr., Ste. 100, Tamecula, CA 92591 BANNING 135 N. Alessandro Rd., Banning, CA 92220 BLYTHE 265 N. Broadway, Blythe, CA 92226 HEMET 880 N. Slate St., Hemet, CA 92543 INDIO 46-200 Oasis St., Indio, CA 92201 RI-030 ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (NAME). Sich Bar Pamber and Addressly
Todd M. Friedman (SBN 216752) FOR COURT LISE DILLY Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Dr.,#415 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 TELEPHONE NO: 877-208-4741 FAXNO. (Optional): 8666330228 EMML NODRESS (Optional: thriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com ATTORNEY FOR (NAME) Plaintiff, NATHANIEL DAVIS OCT 09 2012 PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: NATHANIEL DAVIS D. BARRAZA DEFENDANTIRESPONDENT, GENPACT SERVICES LLC CASE NUMBERS RIC 1213917 CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL The undersigned certifies that this matter should be tried or heard in the court identified above for the reasons specified below: The action arose in the zip code of: 92879 The action concerns real property located in the zip code of: ____ The Defendant resides in the zip code of: _ For more information on where actions should be filed in the Riverside County Superior Courts, please refer to Local Rule 1.0015 at www.riverside.courts.ca.gov. I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Date _10/04/12 Todd M. Friedman STYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY IT PARTY MAKING DECLARATION

Approved for Mandatory Use Riverside Superior Court RI-030 [Rev. 07/01/12]

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

Tage 1 DT 1

Local Full 1,000 6

Local Fullet semiters of temperature and temperature of tempera

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY MANN, SIN BUT TOOK M, Friedman SBN (216752)	manher and eddress	FOR COURT USE ONLY				
Law Offices Of Todd M. Friedman Esq.						
369 S. Doheny Drive # 415						
Beverly Hills, CA 90211	‡					
TELEPHONE NO (877)206-4741 ATTORNEY FOR WARNEY Plaintiff, Nathaniel D	FAXND (866)633-17228					
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RE						
STREET ADDRESS. 4050 Main Street	Actorne					
MAILING ADDRESS						
CHYAND OF CODE Riverside, Ca. 92501						
Branch Name						
CASE NAME:						
Nathaniel Davis v. Genpact Services	LLC .					
CIVIL GASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE HUMBER				
Unlimited V Limited		1213917				
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder					
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	dauf				
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	**************************************				
	w must be completed (see instructions	on paga 2].				
1. Check one box below for the case type that						
Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation [Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3,400–3,403)				
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (08)	The state of the s				
Unensured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	AntitrusbTrade regulation (03)				
Other PUPD/WO (Personal Injury/Property Dzmage/Wrongful Death) Tost	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)				
Asbesios (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass fort (40)				
The state of the s	Other contract (\$7)	Securities liligation (28)				
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic ton (30)				
Madical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case				
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	tyber (41)				
Non-PIPDWD (Other) Tod		Enforcement of Judgment				
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Enforcement of Judgment (20)				
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer					
Defamation (\$3)		Miscoilaneous Civil Complaint				
Freud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)				
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)				
Professional negligence (25)		Miscellaneous Civil Pelition				
Other non-PI/PO/WD tod (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Parinership and corporate governance (21)				
Empleyment	Petition ret arbitration award (17)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)				
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	,,,,,,				
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)					
2. This case is Y is not comp	lex under rule 3,400 of the California Ru	iles of Court. If the case is complex, mark the				
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag	emera:	n Karana a				
a. Large number of separately repres	ented parties d. Large number	r of witnessas				
b. Extensive motion practice raising c		with related actions pending in one or more courts				
issues that will be time-consuming		ies, states, or countries, or in a federal court				
c. Substantial amount of documentar	y evidence f, L_I Substantial po	osljudgmeni judicial supervision				
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	/ monetary b. monmonstervice	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive				
 Remedies sought (check as that apply). Number of causes of action (specify): 	The street of th	Thunna .				
5. This case is is is not a class	sertion suit	\ /				
 This case is is not a class If there are any known related cases, the ar 	nd serve a notice of related case. You n	navuse form CM-915.)				
•						
Date: 08/23/2012	h /					
Todd M. Friedman Esq.	15	IGHATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY]				
	NOTICE					
· Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fi	est paper filed in the action or proceeding	g (except small claims cases or cases filed				
President from the tribs total states from the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code).						
in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court tule.						
▶ If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all						
the second secon						
other parties to the action of proceeding. * Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. * Year Let 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.						
	CON CASE COVER SHEET	Cat Rules of Court, rules 2 30 3 220, 3 400-3 403, 3 740				

EXHIBIT "2"

Todd M. Friedman (216752) Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Dr. #415 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 Phone: 877-206-4741 Fax: 866-633-0228 tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com Attorney for Plaintiff

3

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Defendant.

OCT 09 2012 FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

OCT 09 2012

D. BARRAZA

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE LIMITED JURISDICTION

Case No. RIC 1213917 NATHANIEL DAVIS, FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR) VIOLATION Plaintiff. OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AND **VS.** FEDERAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT GENPACT SERVICES LLC,

(Amount not to exceed \$10,000)

- 1. Violation of Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act
- 2. Violation of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal Civ Code §1788, et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA") and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), both of which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

II. PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, Nathaniel Davis ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in RIVERSIDE county in the state of California, and is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3) and is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(h).

б

2]

3. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, Genpact Services LLC, ("Defendant Asset") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a "debt," as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5), and a "consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(f). Defendant Asset regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code §1788.2(c).

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.
- On or about February, 2012, Plaintiff began receiving calls from Defendant.
 Defendant called from telephone number (513) 698-2433 in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.
- 6. On Overage, Plaintiff received more than two collections calls from Defendant every day in connection with an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.
- 7. On average, Plaintiff received more than ten collection calls from Defendant every week in connection with an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.
- 8. On average, Plaintiff received more than twenty collection calls from Defendant every month in connection with an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.

First Amended Complaint - 2

- On at least one occasion, Defendant said or implied that non-payment of the alleged outstanding debt would result in legal actions against Plaintiff.
- 10. On at least one occasion Defendant stated to Plaintiff, if he did not make a payment they will take Plaintiff to court and it will affect his credit.
- 11. On at least one occasion Plaintiff tried explain to Defendant he was going through financial hardships and was not able to pay. At which time Defendant said "you better come up with the money, if not we will continue to call until we get the money."
- 12. On several occasions, Plaintiff asked Defendant to please stop calling. However, Defendant ignored Plaintiff's request and continuously called four times in a day with two hour intervals.
- Defendant contacted Plaintiff with such frequency as to annoy and harass
 Plaintiff.
- 14. Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and the RFDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:
 - a) Falsely representing or implying that nonpayment of Plaintiff's debt would result in the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of Plaintiff's property or wages, where such action is not lawful or Defendant did not intend to take such action (§ 1692e(4));
 - b) Threatening to take an action against Plaintiff that cannot be legally taken or that was not actually intended to be taken, including threatening...(§ 1692e(5));
 - Falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of Plaintiff's debt, including... (§ 1692e(2)(A));
 - d) Communicating or threatening to communicate credit information which is known or which should be known to be false, including... (§ 1692e(8);

l e) Causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy Plaintiff (Cal Civ Code § 1788.11(d)); 2 f) Communicating, by telephone or in person, with Plaintiff with 3 such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute an harassment to Plaintiff under the circumstances (Cal Civ Code § 1788.11(e)); 5 g) Causing Plaintiffs telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously 6 with intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff (§ 1692d(5)); 7 h) Communicating with Plaintiff at times or places which were 8 known or should have been known to be inconvenient for 9 Plaintiff, (§ 1692c(a)). 10 As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA, Plaintiff 15. 11 suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, 12 embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for [3 Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees. 14 15 COUNT I: VIOLATION OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 16 Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs, 16. 17 18 To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the RFDCPA, 17. 19 those actions were done knowingly and willfully 20 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 21 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant 22 for the following: A. Actual damages: Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations; B. C. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees,

23 24

25

26

27

28

D.

COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT

For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

1 Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs. 18. 2 3 4 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 5 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant 6 for the following: 7 A. Actual damages; 8 В. 9 Statutory damages; Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and, C, 10 11 D. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper. 12 PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRI 13 Respectfully submitted this day of October, 2012. 14 1.5 By: ló Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

5	OCT 09 2012
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	
BANNING 135 N. Alessandro Rd., Banning, CA 92220 BLYTHE 265 N. Broadway, Blythe, CA 92225 HEMET 880 N. Stale St., Hemet, CA 92543 NDIO 48-200 Casle St., Indio, CA 92201 MORENO VALLEY 18800 MORENO VALLEY 18800 MURRIETA 30755-D Auld RIVERSIDE 4050 Main St. TEMECULA 41002 County	Heacock St., Ste. D201, Moreno Valley, CA 92553
ATTORNEY OR PURITY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (PARIS, Sales But Nomber and Address) Todd M. Friedman (SBN 216752) Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Or.,#415 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 FRIEDHONEWS 877-208-4741 FAX NO. (Optional): 8666330228 EMAR. ADDRESS (Optional): Hilledman@attorneysforconsumers.com ATTORNEY FOR (PARIS): Plaintiff, NATHANIEL DAVIS PLAINTIFFPETITIONER: NATHANIEL DAVIS DEFENDANTIRESPONDENT: GENPACT SERVICES LLC	FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA OCT 09 2012 D. BARRAZA
	CYZE MONHELD
CENTELL	RIC 1213917
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL The undersigned certifies that this matter should be tried or heard in the co	
The action arose in the zip code of: 92879 The action concerns real property located in the zip code of: The Defendant resides in the zip code of:	
For more information on where actions should be filed in the Riverside Court Local Rule 1.0015 at www.riverside.courts.ca.gov.	•
certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Cue and correct.	California that the foregoing is
Todd M. Friedman Grype on Print Marie of Elattorizey O'Party Maxing Declaration	(CENTERS)
ICS SUPPOR COUNT I TRAV. 07/01/17] CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL,	Page 1 of 1

4

5

7

6

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

LAW OFFICES OF TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1970 Old Tustin Avenue, Second Floor, Santa Ana, California 92705.

On November 28, 2012, I served a true copy of the **NOTICE OF REMOVAL** on all interested parties in this action by:

- [] By personally delivering it to the person(s) indicated below in the manner as provided in FRCP 5(B);
- _[X] By depositing it in the United States mail in a sealed envelope with the postage thereon fully prepaid to the following:

Todd M. Friedman, Esq.

LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.

369 S. Doheny Drive, #415

Beverly Hills, CA 90211

- [] By overnight delivery using an envelope or package provided by the overnight service carrier and addressed to the following:
- [] By ECF: On this date, I electronically filed the document(s) with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent electronic notification of such filing to the following:

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court, at whose direction the service was made.

EXECUTED on November 28, 2012, at Santa Ana, California.

TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Virginia A. Phillips and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is David T. Bristow.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

EDCV12- 2139 VAP (DTBx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
NOTICE TO COUNSEL
CODY of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is

Southern Division

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division

312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8

Los Angeles, CA 90012

411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Case 5:12-cv-02139-VAP-DTB Document 1 Filed 12/05/12 Page 20 of 21 Page ID #:35 CIVIL COVER SHEET

CITE (COVER SHEET
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) Nathaniel Davis	DEFENDANTS Genpact Services, LLC
(b) Attornaya (Firm Name Add	
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)	
Todd M. Friedman, SBN 216752 800-219-3577 LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN 8730 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 411, Beverly Hills, CA 90211	Timothy P. Johnson SBN 66333 LAW OFFICES OF TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON 1970 Old Tustin Avenue, Second Floor, Santa Ana, CA 92705 714-832-1170
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZ	ZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only and X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	PTF DEF PTF DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen of A	Another State
	Subject of a Foreign Country \Box 3 \Box 3 Foreign Nation \Box 6 \Box 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)	
Appenate Court Reopened	□ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): □ 6 Multi- □ 7 Appeal to District District Judge from Litigation Magistrate Judge
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: ▼Yes □ No (Check	Yes' only if demanded in complaint.)
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: ☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and	write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)
Tan Best Concetton Hactices Act 13 OSC 1692 et seq.	unicos diversity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)	
OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT TORTS □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 120 Marine □ 310 Airplane	Pair Labor Standards
□ 430 Banks and Banking □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airplane Pr □ 450 Commerce/ICC □ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability	oduct SPROPERTY 510 Motions to Act Solution Vacate Sentence 720 Labor/Mgmt.
Rates/etc. ☐ 150 Recovery of ☐ 320 Assault, Lit Slander ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 470 Organizations ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 340 Marine	yers'
W 480 Consumer Credit □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted □ 345 Marine Production □ 490 Cable/Sat TV Student Loan (Excl.) Liability □ 810 Selective Service Veterans) □ 350 Motor Vehi	luct □ 422 Appeal 28 USC □ 555 Prison Condition □ 158 □ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 153 Recovery of Exchange □ 355 Motor Vehi Product Lia □ 360 Other Person	cle USC 157 PENALTY Security Act USC 157 G10 Agriculture PROPERTY RIGHTS
USC 3410	□ 441 Voting □ Drug □ 830 Patent ury- □ 442 Employment □ 625 Drug Related □ 840 Trademark
□ 891 Agricultural Act □ 195 Contract Product □ 365 Personal Inj Liability □ 365 Personal Inj Product Liab	ury- mmodations Property 21 USC ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) 444 Welfare
Ref	ict Disabilities - □ 640 R.R. & Truck (405(g)) Employment □ 650 Airline Regs □ 864 SSID Title XVI
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 245 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 463 Habeas Corp	Disabilities - Safety /Health FEDERALT SUITS Other □ 690 Other □ 870 Tayes U.S. Plaintiff
□ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes □ 290 All Other Real Property Alien Detair □ 465 Other Immig Actions	or Defendant)
	00139
ED CA 2	110- /272
TOD OFFICE VICE CONTRACT	VAP (DIEX)
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:	a / 1/

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 5:12-cv-Q211220 STATES BIS PRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	Has this action been	previously filed in this cour	t and dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑No □ Yes	
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	Have any cases been p	reviously filed in this court	that are related to the present case? ♥No □ Yes	
	A. Arise from the sar B. Call for determina C. For other reasons D. Involve the same	ne or closely related transac tion of the same or substant would entail substantial du patent, trademark or copyrig	tially related or similar questions of law and fact; or olication of labor if heard by different judges; or ght, <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
IX. VENUE: (When completing(a) List the County in this Distri	ct; California County	outside of this District: Sta	to if other than California and Province	
Check here if the governmer County in this District:*	nt, its agencies or emp	oyees is a named plaintiff.	if this box is checked, go to item (b).	
Riverside			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
(b) List the County in this Distri ☐ Check here if the governmen	ct; California County t, its agencies or empl	outside of this District; Stat oyees is a named defendant	e if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District, State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
			New York	
(c) List the County in this Distric	ct; California County of	outside of this District; State	e if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.	
County in this District:*		and the or mild inv		
Riverside			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bern Note: In land condemnation cases,	nardino, Riverside, V use the location of th	entura, Santa Barbara, or e tract of land involved	y San Luis Obispo Counties	
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNE	Y (OR PRO PER):	20 PM PM	Date December 3, 2012	
but is used by the Clerk of the	Court for the purpose	of statistics, venue and initi	ormation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings not of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed lating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
Key to Statistical codes relating to				
Nature of Suit Cod	e Abbreviation	Substantive Statement	of Cause of Action	
861 HIA		All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862 BL		All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
DIWC All claims filed by amended; plus all c		All claims filed by insure amended; plus all claims	ed workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))	
863 DIWW All claims		All claims filed for wido	claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security (as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))	
864 SSID All claims for su Act, as amended		All claims for supplement Act, as amended.	atal security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security	
865 RSI All claims for U.S.C. (g))		All claims for retirement U.S.C. (g))	(old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42	